

Collier County Clerk of Courts Office of Inspector General

## **Inspector General Insights**

Background: On September 28, 2022, Hurricane Ian made landfall in Southwest Florida as a major category 4 storm, ranking among the most powerful storms to ever hit the United States. Due to a combination of high winds and a substantial storm surge, there was an urgent need for sanitation services to address the aftermath of the disaster. Therefore, Collier County sought services from a contracted emergency services vendor (vendor/AshBritt, Inc.).

Due to billing inconsistencies found during the review of the sanitation services invoices post-Hurricane Irma, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) decided it was prudent to perform a detailed review of all invoices pertaining to sanitation services provided post-Hurricane Ian.

Objective: The objectives of this review consisted of the following:

- 1. Determine if the billing is in compliance with contract 15-6365 "Disaster Debris Management, Removal and Disposal".
- 2. Determine if the amounts paid would be considered compliant and reasonable with a subsequent FEMA audit.

Scope: The scope of the above-mentioned objectives consisted of a review of the invoices under Purchase Order (PO) 4500219983 for services provided post-Hurricane Ian.

Observations: During the invoice review process, the OIG staff made the following observations:

- 1. The vendor originally submitted invoice #2220-001 in the amount of \$1,668,399.65, which included a duplicate billing of each service provided. The invoice was rejected and a revised invoice #2220-002 was submitted in replacement of the rejected one.
- 2. AshBritt, Inc. submitted invoice #2220-002 for \$861,632.50 on November 4, 2022. Upon review, the OIG requested the invoices of the subcontractors who performed the work. However, the vendor was hesitant to share the information, and the OIG was unwilling to recommend the release of payment without verification of subcontractor invoices. Consequently, the invoice was rejected until the requested documentation was provided. As per section 18 of the contract and in accordance with F.S. Chapter 119, the vendor is required to provide the public records upon request from the public agency.

On July 20, 2023, the vendor furnished the subcontractor invoices. These invoices were redacted to omit the rates billed to the contractor and only show the hours worked. This again is not in accordance with F.S. Chapter 119. During our review, we discovered that one of the principal subcontractors, County Waste, Inc., was closely affiliated with the vendor, AshBritt, Inc., preventing an independent verification of the hours billed. AshBritt representatives initially asserted that County Waste, Inc. was an unaffiliated company, despite their former general counsel admitting the companies were, <u>"one and the same."</u> As a result, payment was released

in the amount of \$610,800.00, which was the amount supported by the subcontractor invoices (not the invoice from the related party).

On September 21, 2023, the vendor provided the sub-subcontractor invoices, which supported the related party's invoice. However, a discrepancy was identified, with an overbilling of 9 hours for services rendered by a sub-subcontractor, leading to a short payment of \$4,185.00. Additionally, there was an inconsistency in the clerical hours billed, resulting in a short payment of \$105.00.

3. Invoice #2220-003 was submitted for \$38,627.08. The invoice consisted of billing <u>284 days</u> of 1,000 Gallon Dual Wall Tank at a unit price of \$10.05, totaling \$2,854.20 only 8 days after Hurricane Ian. Supporting Time and Material Logs indicated usage of the specified equipment and were endorsed by both vendor and County representatives. Furthermore, the invoice as presented, was approved by County staff and sent to Clerk's Accounts Payable for further processing. Subsequently, the invoice was rejected by the division due to incorrect job descriptions.

A revised invoice #2220-003R was submitted for \$104,239.60. It billed 284 hours of Truck with Man – Transport Truck at a unit price of \$241.08, totaling \$68,466.72. New Time and Material Logs were provided, also bearing the signatures of the same vendor and County representatives. It appears that the original logs may have been signed without proper verification of services rendered to the County.

The magnitude of this "error" raises questions on the validity of charges.

The table below shows the differences between the original and revised invoices.

|     | Original Invoice             |      | Revised Invoice #2220-003 |             |     |                                |      |            |              |
|-----|------------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------------------------|------|------------|--------------|
| Qty | Description                  | Unit | Unit Price                | Total       | Qty | Description                    | Unit | Unit Price | Total        |
| 284 | 1,000 Gallon Dual Wall Tank  | Day  | \$ 10.05                  | \$ 2,854.20 | 284 | Truck w. Man - Transport Truck | Hour | \$241.08   | \$ 68,466.72 |
| 284 | Labor                        | Hour | \$ 103.32                 | \$29,342.88 | 284 | Labor                          | Hour | \$103.32   | \$ 29,342.88 |
| 34  | Project Manager              | Hour | \$ 70.00                  | \$ 2,380.00 | 34  | Project Manager                | Hour | \$ 70.00   | \$ 2,380.00  |
| 54  | Superintendent w. Cell/Truck | Hour | \$ 75.00                  | \$ 4,050.00 | 54  | Superintendent w. Cell/Truck   | Hour | \$ 75.00   | \$ 4,050.00  |
|     | TOTAL \$38,627.08            |      |                           |             |     | TOTAL                          |      |            |              |

Figure 1 - Comparison of Original and Revised Invoice #2220-003

Recommendations & Actions: The results of the review were discussed with the vendor and with County staff. Thereafter, the following recommendations were made:

- County representatives are advised to sign only verified documentation to prevent billing issues.
- Project managers should ensure the accuracy of invoices and supporting documents before granting approval.

Management response: Management reviewed, discussed and agree that the insights titled 2023.09 Hurricane Ian Sanitation Ashbritt conducted by the Clerk's Office were beneficial and appreciated. We are pleased to see that there were recommendations made by the Clerk's Office. Moreover, we were very pleased with PUD's response to the storm and its aftermath which resulted in minimal environmental impacts and the solidification of our customer's trust in PUD's ability to maintain public health and safety.

**Conclusion:** The selected vendor continues to provide services to Collier County under contract 15-6365 "Disaster Debris Management, Removal and Disposal Services", which is anticipated to sunset on January 12, 2026.

| Total #<br>Transactions | Amounts Audited or<br>Reviewed | Questioned Costs | Taxpayer Savings | # Observations /<br>Recommendations |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 187                     | \$965,872.10                   | \$250,832.50     | \$4,290.00       | 2                                   |  |